

2011

LRB-1187

"/P1" → "/P3"

Grant, Peter

From: Hanle, Bob - DOA [bob.hanle@wisconsin.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, February 16, 2011 6:21 PM
To: Lynch, Nancy; Grant, Peter
Subject: RE: Additional responses to Draft 5

Importance: High

Regarding the last item, the bill includes raising the threshold to \$50,000 for all agencies, so the language is not needed.

Bob Hanle, Team Leader
State Budget Office
101 E. Wilson St. -- 10th Floor
P.O. Box 7864
Madison, WI 53707-7864
(608) 266-1037



From: Lynch, Nancy [mailto:NLYNCH@vc.wisc.edu]
Sent: Wednesday, February 16, 2011 5:40 PM
To: Grant, Peter - LEGIS
Cc: Hanle, Bob - DOA
Subject: RE: Additional responses to Draft 5

Peter,

1. P. 223 - we struggled with language and a location for the language that is needed to keep university employees covered under the supplemental sick leave conversion program because it is not in Ch. 40, but is through the comp plan. This was the best mechanism to assure their coverage for at least the year through July 1, 2012. Thanks for the catch on the date.

2. Okay

* 3. Fifth paragraph: I'm sorry to be so insistent on this, but can we instead say "Under this bill, these restrictions do not apply to the authority and the Board of Trustees will establish tuition." I'm okay if you want to delete the "and the Board...", but would ask that you at least use the first portion of the revised sentence I just provided.

4. Thank you for adding the piece about state funding.

5. Did you add the portion about employees retaining Ch. 40 benefits?

6. The bid section at the end of the summary - Ben offered some language for your consideration:

"With some exceptions, purchases for which the estimated cost exceeds \$25,000 require state agencies to solicit sealed bids or solicit bidding by auction, while purchases for which the estimated cost is \$25,000 or less may be made under simplified bidding procedures. Under this bill, the authority may make purchases under the simplified bidding procedures if the estimated cost does not exceed \$50,000. Purchases for which the estimated cost exceeds \$50,000 will continue to be solicited under sealed bids or bidding by auction."

Nancy

Nancy K. Lynch
Associate Director
Senior University Legal Counsel
Administrative Legal Services
University of Wisconsin-Madison
361 Bascom Hall
500 Lincoln Drive
Madison, Wisconsin 53706
Email: nlynch@vc.wisc.edu
Tele: 608.263.7400
Fax: 608.263.4725
<http://legal.wisc.edu>

From: Grant, Peter [<mailto:Peter.Grant@legis.wisconsin.gov>]
Sent: Wednesday, February 16, 2011 3:33 PM
To: Lynch, Nancy
Cc: Hanle, Bob - DOA
Subject: RE: Additional responses to Draft 5

Hi Nancy,

On page 223, lines 18 to 20, although we are not sure what it does, we will substitute your exact language shown below. With one change: I think you mean "until July 1, 2012," right? "Until June 30" means until 12:01 am on June 30. (I cc'ed Bob Hanle on this email because this is the kind of information that we usually put in a drafter's note. We don't plan on writing a drafter's note to accompany this draft.)

Regarding page 172, after line 13, we think the note is simply left over from a much earlier draft.

Regarding the fifth paragraph of the analysis: I can't write, "This bill removes these restrictions from the authority...." because the restrictions never applied to the authority. I did not add the rest of your sentence (about authorizing the Board of Trustees to establish tuition) because I think it's implied by my statement, "The bill places no limit on the amount of tuition the Board of Trustees may charge." If you think that statement is incorrect in any way, please let me know.

At your request, I added a sentence in the sixth paragraph about the authority receiving state funding.

Peter

From: Lynch, Nancy [<mailto:NLYNCH@vc.wisc.edu>]
Sent: Wednesday, February 16, 2011 2:06 PM
To: Grant, Peter
Cc: Rutherford, Lisa
Subject: Additional responses to Draft 5

Peter,

Changes to the most recent draft.

2/16/2011

1. I know you were not focusing on the summary changes, but I'm still requesting that my revised suggestions be adopted (see my email from late yesterday afternoon) The few changes I retained are very important.
2. p. 119, note after line 3 - answer is yes.
3. p. 128, note after line 22 - answer is yes
4. p. 171 note after line 11 - answer is yes
5. p. 172 note after line 13 - is this just left over or do you know of other changes to 40 that we haven't seen yet?
4. p. 223 - lines 18-20 need to be deleted and replaced with the specific language we sent yesterday. Your language does not maintain the supplemental sick leave conversion program for our employees. Our language is repeated here for ease: The Department of Employee Trust Funds shall continue to administer the program defined in section 230.12(9) on behalf of employees of the University of Wisconsin-Madison as defined in ss. 37.13, 37.15 and 37.17 until June 30, 2012.

We are up to date on all your questions other than Mark's follow up on 893 and 895. That will be coming shortly.

Thanks.

Nancy

Nancy K. Lynch
Associate Director
Senior University Legal Counsel
Administrative Legal Services
University of Wisconsin-Madison
361 Bascom Hall
500 Lincoln Drive
Madison, Wisconsin 53706
Email: nlynch@vc.wisc.edu
Tele: 608.263.7400
Fax: 608.263.4725
<http://legal.wisc.edu>